



# Womb With A View

**Christopher Spivey**

Well, as you can see, this site lives to fight another month thanks to your generosity & loyal support. Indeed, I still find it hard to believe that the site has lasted this long when you consider the ridiculous amount of money (£266) that has to be paid out on the 9th of every month to keep it online.

Yet we keep on going and for that I thank you all very much... So let's talk about uterus transplants.

HUH?

Yep you heard.

You see, uterus transplants were not really mentioned in the

press until December 2018, despite the first ones having been carried out in 2016... Very unsuccessfully to boot:

***Texas doctors have done the first womb transplants using live donors in the United States.***

***Four women who had been born without a uterus received one in operations last month at Baylor University Medical Center at Dallas. Three of the wombs have had to be removed because of poor blood flow. But the hospital said in a statement Wednesday that the fourth recipient still has hers and is showing no signs of rejection.***

***The hospital would not identify any of the donors or recipients... [Source](#)***

Three out of four is hardly a success is it? Moreover, all of those transplants came from living donors.

What's more, as far as I can gather that woman and the dozen or so who have received transplants since have to wait 6 months to a year before they can make use of the uterus.

Nevertheless, the first of these babies was born on December 4th 2017:

***DALLAS (AP) – It took well over a year to determine if a woman who received a womb transplant would become the first in the U.S. to give birth, transplant surgeons in Dallas said Monday.***

***Baylor University Medical Center officials said at a news conference that the mother has returned home and her newborn son is fine. He remains hospitalized for monitoring.***

***It took months to ensure that the transplanted uterus would be functional and then many more to determine if the implanted embryo would prove viable, Dr. Tiffany Anthony said... [Source](#)***

So what you say?

To which I would answer, stay with me because I am going somewhere with this.

Now, that birth mentioned above was the result of the mother receiving a uterus from a living donor... Of which there are not likely to be many. And of course if you look at that 1 in 4 statistic you can only conclude that any living donors are going to be even more reluctant to do so given that appalling failure rate.

Moreover, these donated uterus's are usually removed after the receiver has given birth. Or put another way, they are single use only.

However, it is not just the donor who to my mind would be reluctant to partake because the operation to transplant a uterus is a very complicated, 11 hour procedure with the recipient being in intensive care for a least two days afterwards.

The recovery process is then very slow and involves huge amounts of anti rejection drugs which of course any transplant patient has to take for the rest of their lives – hence the reason that doctors remove the transplanted uterus after the birth of the baby:

***After surgery, the anonymous recipient remained in intensive care for two days before spending another six days on a specialised transplant ward.***

**She received five immunosuppression drugs to prevent her body rejecting the new organ, as well as other treatments to combat infection and blood clotting.**

***Five months after the transplant, the implanted womb appeared to have been successfully incorporated into her body... [Source](#)***

Worse still, babies born from a transplanted uterus cannot be conceived via the normal method... The eggs have to be artificially inseminated.

However, within the space of 3 or 4 months it seems that medical science took a great leap forward because another baby was born in Brazil via a uterus donated by a dead donor. Although amazingly this news wasn't reported on until the 4th of December 2018 (*a year to the day after that first baby was born in Dallas*).

***A baby has been born to a mother who received a transplanted womb from a dead woman in a world-first medical procedure.***

*Now almost one year old, the healthy girl was born weighing 5lbs 10oz in December last year to a 32-year-old woman in [Brazil](#).*

*The landmark birth marks a huge fertility breakthrough, which scientists say offers hope to thousands of infertile women.*

*Eleven babies have been born from living uterus donors but the procedure has never succeeded when using an organ from a deceased woman.*

*Doctors published details of the milestone birth in the prestigious medical journal [The Lancet](#).*

*Doctors at the Hospital das Clinicas at the University of Sao Paulo implanted the dead donor's uterus into the mother in September 2016.*

*The new mother was born without a womb because of a condition called Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome (MRKH).*

*In the pioneering 11-hour operation, medics implanted a uterus donated by a 45-year-old woman, who was a mother herself, who died of a stroke.*

*She also gave away her heart, liver and kidneys. It is unclear if any other patients have benefitted from her organs.*


*The woman spent two days in intensive care and was given*

*immunosuppression drugs to stop her body rejecting the new organ.*

*After having the womb transplanted, the unnamed woman had her first period after 37 days then menstruated regularly until she became pregnant seven months later.*

*Her eggs had been frozen before the transplant took place and the woman became pregnant on the first attempt at implanting an embryo fertilised through IVF.*

*The baby was born by caesarean section after 35 weeks and three days, and measured 45cm in length – average for a newborn... [Source](#)*



And once again, there you have it; confirmation of how complicated the procedure is despite the fact that donors are more plentiful if they are dead.

Thankfully, the number of women born without a uterus are very few and of course those born without one and willing to go through the ordeal of a transplant lessen the number drastically.

However, I believe that the reason that there was a sudden upsurge in reporting on uterus transplants in December last year was for a more sinister reason.

And with that in mind, you first have to ask yourself what is the point? I mean the operation is never likely to be available on the NHS because of the huge cost involved... And as I say, I very much doubt that most women would bother putting themselves through the ordeal anyway.

However, as I say, the more that I looked into this subject the more it became apparent to me that there was another agenda at play here.

You see, four months BEFORE the Chimp reported on that baby in December 2018 (*a year after the fact*), they were already using uterus transplants to further the transgender agenda:

***Silvia Park no longer needed her uterus. So she donated it to someone who does.***

***The 49-year-old mother-of-three had happily raised a transgender son, another child and stepchild and knew she did not want any more children.***

***She told [Cosmopolitan](#) that watching and standing in solidarity with him through his transition made her realize her uterus might be able to help a transgender woman.***

***No one has successfully transplanted a uterus to a person born with male anatomy so far and transplanting a uterus at all is still a highly experimental procedure... [Source](#)***

Now re-read that last paragraph again and think about it for a second... And then read the following farticle that appeared in the Chimp TWO days ago:

***A top British surgeon has called for transgender women to get life-changing womb transplants so they can have children of their own.***

That is despite uterus transplants being in their infancy – *no pun intended* – and them still being very hit and miss... Moreover, as that article published in December (*along with the other 3 or 4 follow up farticles*) pointed out:

***No one has successfully transplanted a uterus to a person born with male anatomy***

And while I am not a doctor, it is quite obvious to me that men and women have a very different plumbing system, so what the fuck are doctors going to connect a uterus to with someone who has male plumbing? In fact it sounds like total bollox to me – *pun intended*.

Nevertheless, the old fanny then continues:

***It comes after doctors in [Brazil](#) delivered the world's first baby from a womb transplant that was given to a woman from a deceased donor last year.***

AHHH... And therein lies the point of that sudden rise in reporting in December 2018:

***Now surgeon Christopher Inglefield, founder of the [London Transgender Clinic](#), believes transgender women deserve a uterus implant.***

I think that Christopher Inglefield has read Mary Shelley's 'Frankenstein' one time too many.

I mean he is talking about a transplant procedure that is still in it's infancy in women designed to have a uterus for fucks sake... Carry on Monkey Kuntz:

***He says the procedure is 'essentially identical' to the one performed on women.***

Is Christopher Frankenstein for real! Is he really a surgeon? I mean how in the name of fuck is the procedure '***essentially identical***' in men and women?

Men do not have ovaries. Men do not have Jack & Danny's, even if they have been butchered and have had their knobs turned inside out. Fuck me, men do not even have room for a uterus, let alone one that is going to swell up like a big balloon!

*Dr Inglefield, who appeared in ITV's Transformation Street, told the Mirror: 'This pioneering birth is extremely important for any trans female who would like to carry her own child.'*

*'Because once the medical community accept this as a treatment for cis-women with uterine infertility, such as congenital absence of a womb, then it would be illegal to deny a trans-female who has completed her transition.'*

Oh, it seems that I was wrong... The operation will be available on the NHS... If you are a trans-female.

Yet the fact is, implanting a uterus into a he-she would be even more pointless than doing so into a woman.

I mean a trans woman cannot produce eggs, so the baby will not be their own anyway... And neither can they give birth naturally, so what is the point of it all?

*There are currently no regulations in place to prevent a trans women from receiving IVF if they do receive a transplant.*

Yet the cunt is talking about something that has never even been attempted... Indeed it is on a par with the talk a few years ago about carrying out a head transplant – fucking impossible, because if it was no one in possession of two legs would be in a wheelchair anymore.

Nevertheless, you can read the rest of that bollox farticle [HERE](#)

The world really has gone mad... Just sayin'

