

There's Something In The Air

Christopher Spivey

You may remember that last January this site was taken off line because I couldn't afford to pay the December-January crippling site fees.

Course, what you may not know is in that period that the site was off line, myself and Wolfie were in negotiation with my hosts, Orange which involved trying to move the site from it's own server onto a shared server. In doing so, the site fees would have been slashed from £266 per month to around £130 per month – a substantial saving, I am sure you will agree.

However, once the move onto a shared server was complete, my site would not load because it was far too large... At least that is the way that I understood the problem, what with me being a long way off a computer expert.

And with that being the case, we had no other choice except to move the site back onto it's own server which meant that the £266 site fees still applied.

Nevertheless, since January, thanks to your loyalty, generosity and support I have been able to make the monthly fees but it is an ongoing constant struggle. Therefore, I am sorry to say that the only viable option that I can see is to streamline the site by getting rid of most of the older articles on here and as it stands at the moment, I have deleted nearly 600 articles in the past four weeks.

Sadly, the forum may have to go too.

Course, the reason that it is taking so long (and there is still a long, long way to go), is that I have to read all of

the articles that I am deleting in order to know which ones to save and store elsewhere. You see, I have worked far too hard over the past 6 years just to let my efforts just disappear into cyber space.

Therefore, I plan to convert all of the articles that I have *personally* written into a series of books over the next couple of years. And although I was a lot more naive six years ago, I still feel that there is still a lot of merit in what I wrote back then.

So by way of an example, I will leave you with the second article that I ever wrote for this website and remind you that this months site fees are due on the 9th of November.

The article – called *“There’s Something In The Air”* and written in early 2012 – takes a look at the ‘nanny state’ that we have become and also focuses on how we are being conditioned to view all Muslims as being potential terrorists. Indeed, this article could have been written in 2018, thus proving how far we HAVEN’T come in the following six years.

In fact to bring it up to date all that you need do is substitute the word “Al Qaeda” with the word “ISIS”...

There’s Something In The Air

What is Liberty? Liberty is a concept of political philosophy and identifies the condition in which an individual has the right to act according to his or her own will.

“Any society that would give up a little liberty to gain a little security will deserve neither and lose both” – Benjamin Franklin.

“Lock up the streets and houses, Because there’s something in the air, We’ve got to get together sooner or later, Because the revolution’s here, and you know it’s right” – Thunderclap Newman

I went to Tesco's to do some grocery shopping with my young-ish girlfriend on Saturday morning, like you do. When we got to the checkout my girlfriend unloaded the trolley and then walked to the end of the conveyor belt to pack the shopping away. Being a bit tight I stood adjacent to the checkout assistant watching her scan our goods to see how much this was all going to cost me.

Picking up a vacuum sealed packet of 5 Tesco value table knives (*told you I was tight*), the checkout assistant turned to my girlfriend, held up the pack of knives and in an abrupt manner told her that she wasn't allowed 'these' because she wasn't over 25 years of age... My girlfriend was in fact 24 years old although she could easily have passed for 21.

Nevertheless, in case I'd somehow become invisible, I thought that I best intervene by interrupting the Nazi-jobs-worth to tell her that I personally was over 25.

"Are you paying for this shopping?" Greta Snipe (*I think that was the checkout assistants name – if it wasn't it should have been*), demanded to know... And I mean demanded.

I then told Greta that I was indeed paying after which she reluctantly put the knives through the scanner. Now I don't doubt for a minute that I spoiled Greta's day, but this incident as much as any other hammered home the question; *What the fuck is going on in this country?*

I mean am I right in assuming that married couples under 25 have to eat their dinners and feed their children with their fingers? Is there an epidemic of knife wielding, respectable looking, female gangs all under the age of 25 roaming the streets looking to stab some innocent passer-by? Do only the under 25's stab people? Isn't using a Tesco knife to stab someone on a par with stabbing someone with an ice lolly stick?

Course all this nonsense with the 'Nanny State' started in the

late 1980's but really began to gain momentum after the new anti-terrorist laws were introduced in 2001.

The new anti-terrorist laws were according to our politicians supposedly brought in for our benefit to keep us safe and we as a nation fell for the con hook, line and sinker. But ask yourself this; do you feel any safer now than you did in 2001? I'll bet you don't. On the other hand, do you feel less safe because I certainly do.

So, why don't you feel safer? After all, when was the last terrorist attack that affected you? It certainly wasn't on 9/11 or 7/7. They were both 'inside jobs', organised by the US and UK government's and used as a reason to bring in the new anti terrorist laws. Course, if you still don't believe that those two attacks were 'inside jobs' despite the overwhelming evidence for both, then you may as well stop reading now and go back to watching the soaps on TV.

"Ah but!" you say, having decided to read on, "doesn't the lack of terrorist attacks prove that the anti-terrorist laws are working? After all, you hear about the security services foiling planned terrorist attacks on a regular basis, don't you?"

Well, no you don't actually. What you hear about is the security services foiling terrorist attacks that they have organised themselves... To keep you all living in fear.

I personally don't know of one thwarted terrorist attack, post 9/11 that hasn't later proved to be a 'false flag' operation planned by the US or UK security forces. The truth is, there is no terrorist threat to us unless you class the government as terrorists. There never has been. At least not since the IRA stopped their bombing campaign on mainland England anyway.

I'm old enough to remember the IRA bombing campaign unfortunately and I can tell you that there wasn't the air of oppression or wave of paranoia floating around back then like

there is today. And why wasn't there? After all there is a far greater chance that you know of or are related to someone killed or maimed by an IRA bomb, than there is of you knowing someone killed or maimed by a Muslim extremists bomb.

Indeed, if proof was ever needed of the fear lurking in the back of people's minds with regards to the threat of a terrorist attack, just think back to the collective huge sigh of relief that people breathed when it was announced that Osama Bin Laden had been killed last year... Never mind that common sense should have told you that it was the second time that he had died. Then again, common sense has been on the decline for years.

The facts surrounding Bin Laden and his 1st death are all too easily available. He was one of many children born into the lap of luxury of a fantastically rich Arabian family who had *(and in all likelihood probably still have)* business dealings and a close personal friendship with the powerful and wholly corrupt Bush family. Osama Bin Laden himself was an immensely rich man and a CIA operative who died in a Saudi Arabian based American Army hospital of kidney failure in late 2001.

Yet thanks to the mainstream media's, mind control and propaganda department, people bought into the idea that Bin Laden was the devil incarnate himself, who lived in a cave and lurked around in shadows with a knife clenched between his teeth... No doubt a Tesco value table knife at that.

Meantime, the real terrorists such as Martin McGuinness who was a one time leader of the IRA and allegedly the man responsible for supplying the firearms used against British Soldiers on 'Bloody Sunday' is now the 2nd most powerful politician in Ireland. Or take the Republican politician Gerry Adams. He was another leading IRA/Sinn Fein leader who was jailed in 1972 under the prevention of terrorism act and who came from a long line of terrorists.

Get the picture yet?

No? OK let's look at the anti terrorist laws supposedly brought in to protect us from Muslim extremists, but which are in reality more to do with curtailing the rights and liberties of ordinary people.

What the anti-terrorist laws mean is that people like myself – those of us who publicly speak out against the government or '*Homeland Terrorists*' as the government likes to call us – can in theory be arrested without charge and held indefinitely without access to a legal representative. Furthermore, the authorities have no need to inform anyone of our arrest or where we are being held. Hmmm, now why does the Gestapo springs to mind?

Just this week in fact, an America army manual describing the procedure for the rounding up of '*political activists*' and detaining them in '*re-education*' camps has been exposed. Does that mean that those of us who don't agree with the Government need reprogramming until we do agree? Is free thinking no longer tolerated? The manual goes on to detail plans to make '*detainees*' carry out forced labour and for keeping '*political*' prisoners locked in isolation. Hmmm, now why do Nazi concentration camps spring to mind?

And what of the so called '*War on Terror*'? An unending, illegal, corporate war centered on a bogus manhunt in and around the Middle Eastern countries. Or put another way; a war based on greed and fought for corporate gain at the cost of the lives of millions of innocent men, women and children. And that's without taking into consideration the lost lives of the apparently easily replaceable British and American servicemen and women.

Course, in order to keep this so called war on terror going, Muslims have to be portrayed to us as being the enemy, Hence the need for government propaganda relating to the threat of

non-existent potential terrorist attacks. Not only do these government arranged threats allow them to rape and pillage nations that are no threat to our national security whatsoever, they also keep you living in fear and hatred of the Muslim people.

In hating these people, you become disassociated with their suffering. For instance, an over indulged, drug addled diva dies in the bath and millions upon millions of people go into mourning. Likewise a 'loony tunes' 51 year old paedophile pop star gets given an overdose of prescription drugs and again millions of you who have never met or spoken to him are grief stricken. I even tattooed his portrait and signature on someone who was having difficulty in coming to terms with his death, yet he was an in your face kiddie fiddler. The lowest of the low for crying out loud.

On the flip side of the coin, when a few hundred thousand Muslim children are killed or maimed beyond all comprehension no one gives a damn. Why not? They are still children aren't they? Children who are Loved and cherished by their parents the same way that we love ours. How would you feel if you had to nurse your own child who'd had their arms and legs blown off courtesy of an unwanted and uninvited invading army?

Would you burn that nations flag in the street? I for one would do a lot more than that. Mind you, there is evidence to suggest that in most cases of flag burning carried out on these shores the perpetrators are government paid agitators. Why do you think that they are never able to be got by the vast crowds of patriotic people who are inevitably around when this flag or poppy burning spectacle takes place?

The vast majority of Muslims are peaceful, decent, law abiding citizens. In fact a major survey carried out in 2010 concluded that British Muslims have a greater respect for our traditions than we do ourselves. Of course there are Muslim extremists, in the same way that we have our own right wing extremists.

However, just like our extremists, theirs are also just a tiny minority of idiots who are all shout and of no real threat to anyone but themselves.

And what of the countries that we have invaded in this so called war on terror? Afghanistan, supposedly invaded for giving shelter to those cave dwelling multi millionaire terrorists. And since we are invading anyway, let's get rid of the Taliban while we are at it. That would be the Taliban who over 10 years after the invasion are now not only thriving but, according to some military strategists, unbeatable. Yes the Taliban may have had a brutal regime, but it was none of our business.

Course, had our politicians wanted rid of the Taliban for honourable reasons then maybe there could have been some justification in the invasion. Sadly, they didn't, in fact there was a far more sinister reason for wanting the Taliban removed. Course using the pretext of liberating the Afghan people gave the UK and US governments a nice cover story for their ulterior motive.

And what were their ulterior motives? Well apart from the Taliban repeatedly refusing to allow the American Government permission to run a major oil and gas pipeline throughout the length of their country and which is now in place, Afghanistan was also without a Rothschild controlled Central bank, which is also now in place.

However the staggering sums of money that the Taliban were reaping in as profits in their capacity as the world's main Heroin distributors hadn't escaped the US and UK governments attention. Since Heroin is one of the major scourge's of Western society, it would of course have made sense to stop this drug trafficking*.



PHOTO: American soldier guarding Afghanistan poppy field.

That should have been no problem when you consider that the US and UK have spy satellites capable of zooming in on a single individual person, so how hard could it be to locate and burn a few large acres of Poppy field? Well according to statistics, very hard actually since there is much more Heroin coming out of the country now than there ever was under the Taliban rule.

The difference is of course, the US and the UK governments are now reaping the vast financial rewards that are to be made through this life destroying drug. In fact, the amount of money involved is so huge that the US government are thought to be using their share to prop up the American economy. So ask the Afghan people if they are better off now than they were 10 or 11 years ago. I fully expect the answer to be a resounding NO.

Course, anyone who doubts the fact that the British and

American Governments are the biggest drug dealers in the world need only read the following article:

Well I guess we know who America's TOP Drug Dealers are-(CIA)The Criminal Intelligence Agency.

"We've all heard the rumors that the CIA are the reason that the United States/Canada is awash with cocaine... is it time to connect the dots now that one of their planes has crash landed with four tons of coke in it?"...

So the Arnprior OPP posted a blurb in the Guide stating "Report drug dealers". Well shouldn't we be seeking out the kingpins in Govt. namely the CIA! The most famous example of Govt. involvement in drugs (British) was the Opium war in China in the 1800's. So on the heels of that here's another extreme example of Govt. gone awry:

Seventeen months after an American-registered DC9 airliner was busted with 5.5 tons of cocaine, a major international scandal is brewing over a second drug trafficking incident in Mexico's Yucatan involving an American-registered jet owned by a dummy front company of the kind usually associated with the CIA.

A weekend visit to "Donna Blue Aircraft Inc" of Coconut Beach FL., the company which FAA records show owned the Gulfstream II business jet (N987SA) which crash-landed with 3.7 tons of cocaine aboard in Mexico's Yucatan two weeks ago, has revealed that the company's listed address is an empty office suite with a blank sign out front.

There was no sign of Donna Blue Aircraft, Inc., at the address listed at the Florida Dept. of Corporations, 4811 Lyons Technology Parkway #8 in Coconut Beach FL.

However, there were, oddly enough, a half-dozen unmarked police cars parked directly in front of the empty suite.

It seems that one of the planes logged on this list of "CIA

Prison Planes" has been in a little accident – It crash landed in Mexico after running out of Jet fuel en route to the US. The authorities were more than a little surprised when they found four tons, yes you heard me right, four tons of cocaine on board.

The men flying the plane have disappeared – including one woman, the CIA refuses to comment, and the mainstream press don't want to touch the story...

And what of Iraq? That country ruled over by the feared megalomaniac, Saddam Hussein. Would that be the same Saddam Hussein that our previous governments helped to put in power? How the Iraqis must have hated him. Course, under his rule the nation didn't have to live in the most dangerous place on earth, where 1 in 3 people have either lost or had a close family member crippled. Neither were 75% of Iraqi children exhibiting signs of post traumatic stress under Saddam Hussein's rule. Are they as a Nation better off now that they have a patsy for western governments in power? Again, I fully expect the answer to be a resounding NO.

Nevertheless, whilst we are on the subject let's look at Libya? Colonel Gaddafi's gone thank god... Errr but isn't he the fella that our ex Prime minister, the Right Horrible Tony Blair used to like doing business with? Wasn't Gaddafi the fella that contributed millions of Dollars to help the criminal, Nicolas Sarkozy become the French President.

Wasn't Colonel Gaddafi the one who masterminded an infrastructure that was second to none in the Middle East? Was he not the person who refused the Rothschild's permission to set up a Central Bank in the country? Was he not the one who still has millions of supporters, even now he's dead? Do the Libyan people now enjoy a better standard of living than they did under Gaddafi? I fully expect the answer to be a resounding NO.

Then there's Egypt and Syria. Both are countries with 'hostile Governments'. Have you ever noticed how our government calls those people who plant bombs, shoot soldiers & government forces, demonstrate and fight to overthrow these hostile governments, Freedom Fighters or anti government Forces. Where do you think these Freedom Fighters get all their modern weapons and ammunition from? Why, they get them from our leaders of course because we also want to rape and pillage these countries for all that they are worth**.



On the other hand, any group of people who do similar in countries with Governments which are aligned with ours are branded Terrorist Organisations. Look at how we, as a nation are condemned and vilified by our own government if we go on strike or demonstrate in this country. Look at the laws that are being constantly brought in to make it harder to demonstrate. Take away the right to demonstrate and exercise free speech and your left with a dictatorship.

Furthermore if it was about liberating people from an oppressive, tyrannical regime, why have we not invaded Saudi Arabia, a country whose human right violations are second to none? What about Bahrain? They too have an appalling record on human rights yet our sovereign, the Queen is great mates with Shaikh Hamad Bin Isa Al Khalifa, the country's King. In fact he has just accepted his invitation to the Queen's Jubilee lunch as it so happens. *"Another Gin and Tonic Ma'am"*?

Iran is the next likely target in the ongoing war on terror. Why? Well supposedly because the country poses a nuclear threat, a claim that the Iranian government categorically deny.

Course, many politicians from around the world also back Iran's denial. In fact there isn't a shred of proof that suggests Iran is a rogue nuclear power, but hell that doesn't matter. Our ex Prime Minister, Tony Blair looked us in the eye and told us we had to invade Iraq because they had weapons of mass destruction which would be used against our peace loving nation... Yet he lied through his teeth; Iraq had no WMDs as he damn well knew all along.

In truth, Iran is no threat to either our, Americas or anyone else's national security. North Korea on the other hand is a nuclear power with an appalling human rights record and far more of a potential threat to world safety than Iran ever will be. But are there invasion plans afoot for North Korea? Nah, far too risky, no oil, really not worth the effort... As of yet, but give it time.

The truth is I'm sad to say, that the UK and the USA are the real threat to world peace. Furthermore, people's civil rights and liberties are being eroded away little by little every day in both of these nations. We are told what to think, when to think it, what to do and when to do it everyday of our lives.

The Police, originally set up to protect and serve, are

rapidly evolving into a privatized Army employed only to keep the population in line and in fear; not to solve crime. Our every move is tracked by CCTV camera's and our freedom of speech is all but outlawed. Moreover, once you realise where this country is really heading, you can literally feel the air of oppression and sense of doom hanging over us. Hmmm, now why does Hitler's Germany spring to mind?

* In February 2012 Press TV released the following article which proved that more heroin was coming out of Afghanistan since the Anglo/American illegal invasion of the country, than ever was under the Taliban:

Opium production by Afghan farmers rose between 2001 and 2011 from just 185 tons to a staggering 5,800 tons. Last year, levels increased by 61 percent, with more than 90 percent of heroin found on British streets being traced back to opiates cultivated in Afghanistan."

The latest UN figures indicate that the output of heroin increased by 61 percent in Afghanistan last year despite the Western claims about their will to curb the production of drugs during the invasion of the war-battered country.

Opium production by Afghan farmers rose between 2001 and 2011 from just 185 tons to a staggering 5,800 tons. Last year, levels increased by 61 percent, with more than 90 percent of heroin found on British streets being traced back to opiates cultivated in Afghanistan, according to UN figures. The UN figures make grim reading for those who backed the invasion of Afghanistan. Former British Prime Minister Tony Blair said in 2001 that a significant reason for deployment of foreign forces to Afghanistan was to curtail a flourishing heroin trade.

"The arms the Taliban are buying today are paid for by the lives of young British people buying their drugs on British streets. This is another part of their regime we should seek

to destroy," he said.

However, the UN figures reveal how the outcome has been so dramatically different.

Some 15 percent of Afghanistan's gross national product now comes from drug-related exports, with the trade having a net worth of up to £1.6 billion (\$2.5 billion).

UN Secretary General Ban Ki-moon told delegates at a conference in Vienna, Austria, on Thursday that Afghanistan cannot be stable while its economy depends so heavily on the drugs trade.

He noted that the problem of drug trafficking has undermined efforts to help Afghanistan emerge as a normally functioning economy.

"We cannot speak of sustainable development when opium production is the only viable economic activity in the country," Ban told delegates of the Paris Pact Ministerial Conference...

And that is still the case in 2018. Yet how can that be possible SEVENTEEN years after the illegal invasion... Especially with American satellites and spy-drones. Or are we to believe that these drones can pinpoint a man but cannot find acres upon acres of poppy fields?

Get real for fucks sake!

*** On the 3rd of February 2012, the then Prime Minister, David Cameron admitted that the UK was funding Syrian Rebels. The following is from Press TV:*

The UK government has acknowledged that it has provided an extra GBP 2 million to the Western-backed rebels fighting the popular government of Syrian President Bashar al-Assad.

Prime Minister David Cameron told a hearing at the House of

Commons Liaison Committee on Tuesday afternoon that his government provided cash and equipment to foreign-backed rebels in Syria under such names as 'aid agencies' operating on the ground to help deliver emergency medical supplies and food.

The acknowledgement is yet another proof that the rebellion in the Middle Eastern Arab country has its root somewhere in Britain and France, where the governments of Cameron and French president Nicholas Sarkozy built the foundation of a military strike against former Libyan government of dead dictator Muammar Gaddafi.

The two European countries tabled the first draft resolution at the UN Security Council, which called for a no-fly zone over Libya and later it turned out to become an all-out war against a sovereign member of the international community.

The same scenario is being made about Syria, where David Cameron said "Britain would this week, continue to secure a United Nations Security Council resolution demanding an end to the violence and immediate humanitarian access".

The Prime Minister made three key pledges "to help Syrian citizens, promising more humanitarian assistance, to hold those responsible for slaughter to account and to bring about the political transition that would put a stop to the killing".

However, Cameron failed to mention the fact that his country's spying apparatus MI6 was the prime financier of terrorist snipers who kill people from the roofs of the buildings in some cities and towns in Syria.

He also failed to mention another fact that the UK's former police chief, assistant commissioner John Yates has been deployed to Bahrain, where the ruling family of al-Khalifa regime is brutally killing and torturing people who have come out against corruption and inequality.

John Yates resigned last year from his post at Scotland Yard in the wake of the phone-hacking scandal.

In a show of solidarity with the regime thugs, Yates said that "Bahraini police had faced extraordinary provocation during last year's turmoil".

Yates described the Bahrainis' call for free speech and an elected government as vandalism and rioting...

Now, is it not strange that our press describe these insurgents as 'Syrian Rebels' as opposed to 'Terrorists'?

After all, if there was an army of English Rebels attacking the British Government, would they not be classed as "Terrorists"? Of course they would. Therefore, the British Government was – and still is – funding terrorism.

Yet did the Anglo/American governments not invade Iraq because Saddam Hussein was supposedly funding terrorism?

Just sayin'.