

# Induced labour is the cause of Autism... Its true a tell thee. I read it in the Daily Mail.

The Daily Mail/Spivey



According to the government propaganda rag AKA the Daily Mail, Autism is linked to babies that have been induced.

Quite why people have not sued this poor man's toilet roll for misinformation is beyond me, but if you believe this latest old bollocks, then fuck me, you will believe anything... Then again, most people do, don't they?

The fucking idiots.

Autism is linked to the MMR jab. The government won't admit it, the pharmaceutical industry won't admit it and the MSM

won't admit it.

Why not?

Simple really.

The government are a bunch of evil paedophiles who care not one iota for those who elected them. They are bought and paid for by huge corporations.

The pharmaceutical industry obviously have a financial, vested interest in suppressing the truth.

And, the MSM are all corporate owned and only print what they are told.

The truth is though, there has been an astonishing rise in Autism in this country over the past 25 years.

In fact according to Wikipedia:

***A 2004 study found that the reported incidence of pervasive developmental disorders in a general practice research database in England and Wales grew steadily during 1988–2001 from 0.11 to 2.98 per 10,000 person-years, and concluded that much of this increase may be due to changes in diagnostic practice.***

Ohhhh... Diagnostic practice is it. Okay.

And, then there is this from the science website, [singularityhub.com](http://singularityhub.com):

***In 2002 the Center for Disease Control estimated that autism affected about 1 in 150 children. By 2012 the CDC estimate had increased to 1 in 88. Now, according to the latest revision of the estimate recently released, autism affects 1 in 50 children. That's a phenomenal 300 percent increase in 11 years.***

Now consider this. The MMR vaccine was first introduced in the

UK in 1989.

No coincidence then that Wikipedia, while not pointing the finger at the MMR vaccine states:

***The number of reported cases of autism increased dramatically in the 1990s and early 2000s, prompting investigations into several potential reasons.***

Course, there have been whistle-blowers such as Dr Andrew Wakefield who tried telling the world the truth... But telling the truth is not a good career move. Again, according to Wikipedia:

***[Andrew Wakefield](#), the doctor whose study linked Autism with childhood vaccines, has since had his licence revoked in the United Kingdom for medical fraud.***

I think that would just about be enough to convince other doctors to keep their mouth shut, don't you?

And lets not forget the financial incentives that doctors are paid to push the vaccine on young mothers who, through ignorance and fear of condemnation, blindly allow their young child to be poisoned.

Moreover, in May of this year an Italian court paid out £140,000 to the Bocca family after the Italian Health Ministry conceded that the MMR vaccine had caused autism in their nine-year-old son Valentino. [Source](#)

If that isn't enough proof for you, then you ought to know that just four days ago (aug 9th) the Medical Daily ran an article that stated:

***Scientific evidence has suggested a strong association between autism and the measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine, following nearly a generation of contentious debate on the vaccine's safety. [Source](#)***

Never the less, if the MSM says that Autism is linked to birth induction, it must be right, mustn't it?

Just disregard the fact that babies have been routinely induced since the 1930's in this country, and you will even be able to believe the shit the Daily Mail tells you in the article below.

Meanwhile, I will believe what I want to believe and my Clayton will be going without the MMR vaccine... Just like the children of the elite.

## **Babies whose births are induced or sped up by drugs 'have higher risk of developing autism'**

- Boys third more at risk following a 'difficult' birth, according to US study
- But British experts said 'risk small' – accounting for 1/500 in assisted births
- Currently, around one in 100 children develops autism

By [JENNY HOPE](#)

**PUBLISHED:** 21:05, 12 August 2013 | **UPDATED:** 21:13, 12 August 2013

Babies whose births are assisted by induction or speeded up by drugs have a higher risk of developing autism, claim researchers.

Boys are a third more at risk following a 'difficult' birth, according to a US study of 625,000 deliveries.

However, British experts said the extra risk was small – accounting for perhaps one affected child in every 500 assisted births – and complications arising from not intervening may be fatal.



Babies whose births are assisted by induction or speeded up by drugs have a higher risk of developing autism, claim researchers

Around one in five births in the UK is induced, where drugs such as oxytocin are used to start labour off. Drugs may also be used to strengthen or speed up contractions where the birthing process has stalled.

Researchers in North Carolina analysed 625,042 live births linked with school records, including 5,500 children classified with autism, over an eight-year period.

Currently, around one in 100 children develops autism but symptoms do not usually become apparent until the second year of life.

## More...

- [The unhealthy generation who could die before their parents: Millions of British children risk heart disease by shunning vegetables and watching too much television, campaigners warn](#)
- [A fifth of Scottish people think that jam is one of their five a day despite containing two thirds sugar](#)

Autism, or autistic spectrum disorder (ASD), including Asperger's syndrome, is an umbrella term for a range of developmental disorders that have a lifelong effect on someone's ability to interact socially and communicate.

An estimated 600,000 children and adults in the UK are affected by ASD and genetic factors play a role in its development.

The study found induction or the use of drugs to affect the rate or strength of contractions (known as augmented) were linked with a higher risk of autism being diagnosed in childhood.

Researchers led by Simon Gregory of Duke University Medical Center, Durham, found the risk 'particularly pronounced' in male children.



Researchers in North Carolina analysed 625,042 live births linked with school records, including 5,500 children classified with autism, over an eight-year period (stock picture)

The risk of autism in boy babies who had an induced or augmented labour is between 15 per cent and 35 per cent higher than for those who do not, the exact amount depending on whether the labour was induced, augmented or both.

In the case of boys, both raised the risk of autism whether used together or individually.

Only augmentation alone was associated with an increased risk among girls.

The study allowed for factors such as socioeconomic status, maternal health, pregnancy-related events and conditions, and birth year, says a report in the journal JAMA Pediatrics.

But the actual chances of a child developing autism after assisted birth remain very low, said the researchers.



Re

searchers from Duke University, Durham, North Carolina found the risk 'particularly pronounced' in male children. Two in 1,000 autism cases among boys whose mothers experienced induced or augmented labour could have been prevented if their births were unassisted.

Further work is needed to investigate the reasons for the link, they said, but it does not justify changes in clinical practice.

Co-author Dr Chad Grotegut, also from Duke University, said 'The findings of this study must be balanced with the fact that there are clear benefits associated with induction and augmentation of labour.

'Labour induction, especially for women with post-date pregnancies or medical conditions such as diabetes and high blood pressure, has remarkably decreased the chance of stillbirth.' Prof Kevin McConway, Professor of Applied Statistics at The Open University, said there was evidence autism is linked with risk factors associated with 'a difficult birth'.

**‘We can’t just stop doing inductions or augmentations. As the researchers very clearly point out, there are very large benefits to the mother and to the baby in appropriate cases’**

Prof Kevin McConway, Professor of Applied Statistics at The Open University

But the effect of these risk factors is quite small – about 13 in 1000 boys in the study were recorded as having autism – and it is far from clear what is causing what, he added.

‘If, magically somehow, all the mothers of boys who had induction or augmentation could have not had it, while everything else remained the same, then the number of their children with autism diagnoses would reduce by only 2 in 1000. The association in girls is even weaker, too small to be of statistical significance’ he said.

Prof McConway said ‘Maybe something else – some genetic factor, or something that occurred earlier in pregnancy or during the birth that the researchers could not take into account – is causing some babies to be more likely to have an induction of labour and separately making it more likely that they have autism.

‘We can’t just stop doing inductions or augmentations. As the researchers very clearly point out, there are very large benefits to the mother and to the baby in appropriate cases.

‘Even if it turns out that we could save a few children from autism by inducing many fewer labours, that would come at a major cost in other complications, including deaths to mothers

and babies.

'There's certainly nothing here that pregnant women or new mothers should be particularly concerned about, in my view. The researchers make it explicit their work gives no reason to change medical practice, and I absolutely agree with them on that.'

Read

more: <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2390559/Babies-births-induced-sped-drugs-higher-risk-developing-autism.html#ixzz2bne9o1MI>

Follow us: [@MailOnline on Twitter](#) | [DailyMail on Facebook](#)