

High Court rejects disabled tenants bedroom tax challenge

Spivey/Dale Martin



Despite having no job William Windsor slipped his wife a length, and put her in the pudding club. Predictably, the irresponsible, work shy ponce's now expect the hard pressed state to pay for their child.

Despite the Windsor's, coming from an extended problem family made up of Robbers, Benefit Scroungers, Murderers, ponces, fraudsters and Paedophiles, the vile couple have so far inexplicably not come under the watchful eye of the Social Services.

Amazingly, despite living on state benefits this layabout (of German descent) and his wife – who is always immaculately dressed in designer clothes – have now somehow been allowed to acquire a second home.

And like the rest of his work shy family of illegal immigrants, Windsor – already having it off on the housing benefit gravy train for his state owned first property – is looking to the taxpayer to pay for the upkeep of this new second home.

The combined number of rooms for these two houses belonging to this benefit scrounging family of three is sixty seven.

Despite this fact, a loophole in the law means that these lowlife scum are not eligible for the new bedroom tax. One can only presume that this loophole is something to do with the undeserved skiver's ceremonial role in the armed forces.

Predictably, the Windsor's refuse to talk to the press about their multi million pound benefit scam although the balding Mr Windsor appeared to mouth "Fuck you, it was a present" as he climbed into the driving seat of a brand new Range Rover.

Meanwhile, those in genuine need of financial assistance were dealt a severe blow earlier in the week when the High Court ruled that the disabled were not entitled to escape paying the dreaded bedroom tax... Perhaps they should take a leaf out of the despicable Windsor's book and pretend that they are in the Army... No doubt ATOS would certainly find them fit enough.

Dale Martin takes up the story.

High Court rejects disabled tenants bedroom tax challenge. – I really don't understand people with all this challenging, do they not all understand the rules yet?

Rule number one is quite simple, as long as fate delivers good health and favourable circumstances, pay your taxes and shop

until you drop like a good little sheep, rack that credit up, become a good little debt slave and leave the thinking to your betters who inherit power and privilege because daddy and their peers have told them exactly what needs doing to maintain the status quo.

Rule number two, a little more difficult because it requires a little restraint, when ill health or bad circumstances prevail don't rock the boat and follow previous guidelines laid down as per leper colonies.


Accept your position as a defective unit, don't sleep in shop doorways or public places as it hampers the consumerism process, lower your expectations and accept a slow, lingering and miserable death and above all else..... keep a low profile and don't spoil it for everyone else, ignorance is bliss, out of sight is out of mind and you owe it to the rest of society to comply with that. A good sheep is a compliant sheep, now be a good sheep today.

High Court rejects disabled tenants bedroom tax challenge



**Published by Max Salsbury
for 24dash.com in [Housing](#) and also
in [Central Government](#), [Legal](#)**

Tuesday 30th July 2013 – 10:37am

 Council tax payers to foot £1.6 million 'windfall' bill for former bomb site

The High Court has just rejected ten families' challenge

against the bedroom tax.

The families [had argued](#) that the government's under-occupancy policy was discriminatory against disabled people in social housing.

The families plan to appeal.

A spokesperson for the Department for Work and Pensions said: "We are pleased to learn that the court has found in our favour and agreed that we have fulfilled our equality duties to disabled people.

"Reform of housing benefit in the social sector is essential, so the taxpayer does not pay for people's extra bedrooms. But we have ensured extra discretionary housing support is in place to help those who need it and today we have announced a further £35 million of funding to councils to aid residents."

The ten families were represented by three different law firms who had argued that the bedroom tax was a violation of their Human Rights.

Richard Stein, Partner in the Human Rights team at Leigh Day, one of the law firms representing the families, said: "Our clients are bitterly disappointed with today's decision, but they are not defeated.

"We, along with the other lawyers acting on behalf of adults with disabilities, will appeal this judgment and we remain confident that the discrimination which was recognised by the Court and which has been perpetrated against our clients by this legislation is not justified and is unlawful.

"We welcome the decision by the Court to find that families of children with disabilities must be awarded housing benefit for the number of rooms they actually need. The government must now introduce regulations to this effect.

"We argue strongly that this same provision should be in place

for families who need extra living space for an adult with disabilities.

“Many people are suffering great mental and physical torment through the imposition of these welfare reforms – the need for resolution is urgent.

“The government’s attempts to pass the buck to local authorities to deal with the unfairness and discrimination of the bedroom tax using Discretionary Housing Payments is not acceptable. The amount of money provided by the government for these payments is nowhere near adequate to prevent large numbers of disabled people losing their homes.

“One of our clients with disabilities is currently facing eviction due to the fact he can no longer pay his rent.

“There can be no doubt that this legislation targets and penalises the most vulnerable in society and we believe we will ultimately have it overturned by a court of law.”

National Housing Federation chief executive David Orr said: “We are deeply disappointed with the outcome of today’s High Court judgement. The fact that disabled people are being forced to take the government to the High Court to challenge the bedroom tax shows how desperate their situation is.

“Housing associations warned from day one that this policy was unworkable. Disabled people across the country are being forced to cut back on food and heating to pay the bedroom tax, despite the fact that many have had their homes adapted at great cost due to their disabilities.

“The government said Discretionary Housing Payments would protect these people, but this is only a temporary measure which is unlikely to provide long-term security for people, and the money available is not enough to go around.

“This judgement does not change the fact that the bedroom tax

is a flawed and unfair policy that won't achieve what the government hopes it will. The only fair solution is to scrap this policy now."

Grainia Long, chief executive of the Chartered Institute of Housing, said: "This judgement will come as a huge blow for thousands of disabled families who are being unfairly affected by the bedroom tax.

"The legal challenges have highlighted the problems caused by having a 'one size fits all' system that doesn't take people's individual circumstances into account. Increasing discretionary housing support by £35 million, as the Department for Work and Pensions has announced today, is simply not the right way to mitigate the impact this policy is having."