



Exodus

The Telegraph

You may remember that I did a story on the State Child Snatchers a little while ago which included a piece about Ian Joseph, a Monaco based businessman who for years has been helping parents – who have the SS on their backs – flee the country.

Now, in the very same article I also wrote about a couple whom I personally know who had fled to Ireland to stop the English Social Services stealing their baby.

And through my association with them, I have been privy to the way that the English State Child Snatchers operate.

You see, what has become abundantly clear is the malicious & vindictive way that the English Social Services have continually tried to influence the Irish Social Services decisions in relation to my friends case... Indeed, the evil bastards really do not like anyone escaping their clutches once they have half a hold on your child.

That fact is reinforced in the article below, where thankfully the English child snatchers were foiled on this occasion, when a mother-to-be had no choice but to flee the country to prevent the evil arsewipes from snatching her unborn baby.

This brave lady who I was speaking to yesterday initially fled to France where she found the French SS far more relaxed and fair.

So fair in fact that despite the English SS trying their best to throw a spanner in the works, a French judge allowed her to keep her baby.

However, she – I will call her K for now – has since moved from France to Ireland, yet despite K being judged worthy of keeping her baby, she still not dare bring her back to England since there is little doubt that the Bedford SS will snatch her baby if she does... This madness has to stop.

That fact means that the little girl has to stay in Ireland when K returns to England to see her other two children, who live with their grandma – a situation that really should not exist.

Never the less, the important thing is that her extreme course of action has allowed her to keep her baby.

Therefore, if any mothers-to-be who find themselves in the same boat as K and are also contemplating doing a runner, I am sure that she will be happy to offer advice and answer any questions that you may have.

You can e-mail me at sp-iv@hotmail.co.uk and I will happily pass your questions on.

French judges foil social workers who were out to take

mother's baby

Why is it that, when innocent parents flee abroad with their children, social workers will stop at nothing to bring them back?



By [Christopher Booker](#)

5:39PM GMT 08 Feb 2014

On November 9, I reported the story of yet another pregnant mother who had fled abroad with her partner – this time to France – to prevent her baby being seized by social workers because she had formerly been in an “abusive relationship”.

Bedford council’s social workers called in Interpol (which claims that its duty is only to “fight crime”) to track the couple down. No sooner was the baby born in a French hospital than the mother’s room filled with 10 policemen. They showed

her a paper painting her in a ludicrously damning light as a "threat to the baby", and local social workers removed the child to an orphanage 30 miles away, to lie in a roomful of cots containing a dozen other babies.

At least the French social workers allowed the parents to see their new daughter several times a week, while a junior French judge, clearly nonplussed by Bedford's demands that the child be returned to England, referred the case to a higher court. Bedford's social workers sent over more damning documents, including a psychiatrist's report alleging that the mother suffered from a "personality disorder" and posed a serious threat to her child. The French social workers, "totally different" from those the couple had dealt with in England, were shocked to hear from Bedford that they already had an adoptive home lined up for the child, and asked a French psychiatrist to carry out a full assessment of the mother.

On Thursday morning I had two messages, from the parents and their British solicitors, Brendan Fleming, to say that three French judges had rejected all of Bedford's evidence. They agreed with the French psychiatrist that there was nothing wrong with the mother; that she posed no risk of "physical or emotional harm" to her baby; and that the parents had shown that they and the child had managed to establish a "close and affectionate bond". Their baby must therefore be given back to them immediately.

I spoke to the parents on Thursday night, when they were overjoyed to have their daughter back. The father already has a job with his aunt who runs a lettings business throughout France, and they will soon move to be near her in the Dordogne, to start a new life.

But yet again this story raises the old question: why is it that, when innocent parents flee abroad in this way, our social workers seem to stop at nothing to bring their children back to England? As the French judges also ruled in this case,

such an action would have been in breach of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, guaranteeing the right of families to be protected against unwarranted interference by the state.

Why is this article so often used to protect convicted criminals from imprisonment or deportation, when social workers can happily ignore it every day of the week?