



Bercow: A bi-word for obnoxious little cunt.

The Independent

Honest to fucking Dog, the breath taking arrogance of the Westminster Puppet show really does know no bounds.

According to the midget John Bercow, MPs fiddled their expenses as a “displacement activity” because Parliament had become irrelevant and ineffective.

How about that as an excuse for stealing public money?

And make no mistake about it, stealing is exactly what the cunts did in 2009 and continue to do to this day.

Yet, even in his crass attempt at being flippant by likening the stealing of hundreds of thousands of pounds to that of a mere misdemeanour, the stupid little cunt cannot get it right.

You see, by definition a displacement activity is an unnecessary activity that you do because you are trying to delay doing a more difficult or unpleasant activity.

And lets face it, being a politician is not a very hard activity.

The short arse fuckwit then went on to say that:

An influx of new MPs in 2010, the novelty of coalition, and procedural changes such as forcing ministers to answer more urgent questions had sparked a revival.

has it fuck. If anything the situation is worse now than it ever was.

Every single one of the nonce ponces who claimed for something they were not entitled to do should be up in court on a charge of theft... The likes of me and you would be.

Moreover, being in a position of trust, their punishment should be increased ten fold.

"I'm sorry, I made a mistake" just doesn't wash.

Cunts.

Bored MPs fiddled their expenses as a 'displacement activity' because Parliament had become irrelevant, says John Bercow



MPs fiddled their expenses as a “displacement activity” because Parliament had become irrelevant and ineffective, Commons Speaker John Bercow has said.

Mr Bercow suggested the 2009 scandal was as much a symptom of decades of decline as “malice or corruption” as he urged action to ensure Westminster kept up with the modern world in a speech to the Hansard Society.

He said after becoming Speaker in June 2009 he feared for the future of parliament, describing it as a “virtual corpse”.

“The blunt truth is that the expenses debacle was a particularly embarrassing layer of icing on an especially unappetising cake,” he said.

“The reality in 2009 is that the House of Commons as a meaningful political institution, an effective legislature, had been in decline for some decades and was close to reaching the point where it had become, to distort [19th century journalist] Walter Bagehot slightly, a dignified part of our constitution without much actual dignity.

“The House appeared to be little more than a cross between a rubber stamp and a talking shop which had taken to collective activity such as the imaginative interpretation of what might be a legitimate expense claim as much as an odd form of displacement activity as out of any shared sense of malice or corruption.”

But an influx of new MPs in 2010, the novelty of coalition, and procedural changes such as forcing ministers to answer more urgent questions had sparked a revival.

“Far from being in the final twitches of our mortal life, the virtual corpse has staged an unexpected recovery,” he said.

“It turns out that in the spirit of Dr Who, the parliament elected in 2010 has not been about death but about regeneration.”

He used the speech to announce the creation of “a Speaker’s Commission on Digital Democracy”.