


**Another kick in the bollocks?
Don't mind if I do.**

[Voxinfomeia.](#)



A couple of weeks ago, a self appointed Witch Finder General and Commissioner of Ethical Standards published a totally unwarranted not to mention unfounded attack on my person. To see that attack, click [HERE](#)

As I said at the time, it is water off a duck's back and in truth, I have now reached a position within the AM, where such puerile and ill-conceived attacks from such a nonentity of a site fail to do me any damage.

In fact, from what I can see, the article has now been removed from the site following me highlighting it on here.

Should I be grateful that it has been removed? ... Should I fuck!

The attack was completely without foundation and I haven't worked my arse off for the past two years just to have some wannabes try and make a name for themselves.

So, to simply pretend that the article never existed is not good enough.

Never the less, the point of this foreword isn't to enable me to administer another symbolic kick in the bollocks to the mug or mugs, which ever the case may be. It is an opportunity to give my good friends over at Voxinfomedia a right of reply.

You see, as you will know if you read the article, they too were unjustly singled out for criticism in the diatribe, after designing a t-shirt that included my name amongst 11 other well known names.

This prompted the know nothing no-mark to comment that: *"This is an odd one as it feels uncomfortable that somebody obviously thinks that Chris Spivey should be named alongside the likes of Dr Martin Luther King, John Lennon, Ghandi and George Carling"*.

I should point out that I knew nothing about this set of t-shirts until after they had been made.

Therefore, it is only right and just that Voxinfomedia have their response aired.

This then, is their reply:

Spiveygate & The Keyboard

Commandos



The critical-thinking world can be a fickle whore, at times. Here we have a wide range of genres and topics from a wide assortment of people who have a voice and a genuine desire to drive the message home.

Some may have experienced a bad deal or wrong-doing from the banking institutes, others might have been on the receiving end of a life-threatening disease – not of their own accord – and others are just sick and tired of lies and propaganda.

We all have our reasons for what we write and how we write. Believe me, life was a lot easier when we were ill-informed and unassuming slaves.

When you begin to absorb what is really happening in this world and the deeper you go down the rabbit hole there really is no going back.

Many of us are at that point of being no longer silent and some of us are absolutely raging. It doesn't matter. It takes all forms of styles and characters to make it work.

A guy who grew up in a tough housing estate in Glasgow, Scotland, might have been turned over by a corrupt system dear to his heart and welfare. A woman in Detroit might have her say and decided to stand up to what and who made her take a stance. For whatever reason, I believe it's healthy to want to question – especially authority.

But what frustrates good honest critical-thinkers is not that others have to have the same opinion as us or agrees with everything we write and say; but those two-bit schmucks with a two-digit IQ, a WordPress account, and another motive.

The latest slagging off of renowned writer and truth journalist Chris Spivey is testament to that.

Now, if you don't know Chris, or know of him, he doesn't need too much of an introduction. He is a no nonsense writer who breaks down the spoon-fed news and rips it to shreds with the accuracy of a surgeon. He might not be to everyone's liking but you neither need to like or dislike him; his main objective is not to be loved but to inform as many people as possible in as little time as can be. If you don't get that then that is not his problem: it's yours.

Same with all the other investigative journalists. You don't need to fall in love with them but take their information and do your own research.

Of course, by doing your own research takes time and effort. That scares people. What is easier for the two-bit schmucks is to spend that time picking as many holes as possible; and instead of looking really deep into hard matters that affect our day-to-day lives; these WordPress junkies with a high-horse, a keyboard, and very little written language skills, get off more when they can 'catch' an investigative journalist doing something or saying something not to their satisfaction.

These same schmucks are probably the same ones who watch re-runs of 70s cop series' and yell at their TV sets: "I told you so!" These are the same schmucks who would rather spend an 8-hour shift dissecting whether or not the investigative journalist has 'shaved properly' or what his furniture looks like on screen.

That 8-hour shift would be better spent on some of the following subjects Chris Spivey talks and writes about. Like these short examples:

The holocaust: the only moment in history – the only moment – that you and I are not allowed to question

Missing Madeleine McCann: a case where pro-McCanns say 'without a body no-one can be charged with a murder'.

Well, have a look at the latest UK child murder case of little April Jones. Mark Bridger was charged and found guilty of her kidnap and her murder and all this without a body.

The point is, we are all under attack from the ones who are supposed to be protecting and looking after us: the government.

It turns out that they are actually our second biggest danger to our liberty, well-being and health.

The first biggest danger and evil are the ones who control *them*.

Now, you can decide if you believe it to be the 'Illuminati' or the Zionist machine. Do your own research; it's not that difficult to find and it will take you well under 8-hours of your tittle-tattle time.

If it is more important to point out Chris Spivey has a TV set in his house (*even though he doesn't watch or like TV*) rather than doing your own research on the many subjects he highlights then fine. Be your own guest.

If these little matters like questioning donations to help his sick dog from a deadly situation then you have to question **your** own humility and empathy.

If it is so important to point out his 'choice of language' rather than being more concerned about what you feed your children, then so be it.

If you get a suspicious kick out why he was chosen (*carefully chosen*) in an all-time select team of those who have inspired many then that is your decision and no-one can take that away from you; but his inclusion in the eleven select was whittled down from about two-hundred candidates. That is not a bad

record and no mean feat. We actually took the time to do all that research. It took weeks to months to come to that decision and we were so serious about it we invested in T-shirts at our own costs with no profit in our sights whatsoever.

In ending, you will have noticed not a shred of *bad language*, no hint whatsoever of any bullshit, and certainly no hand-out for praise in this article.

In summary I have to ask you keyboard commandos if it is more important to spend so long character-hanging a guy who has at least the balls, the guts, and to dedicate his valuable time in informing others with thorough research and very fine detail that might actually have an affect on your life and those around you; or don't you think it is more wise to take what he says and do your own detective work? You might just find something.

There are people I don't care for in this business but I do understand the meaning of critical-thinking and in most cases there is a lot of information I have gathered from those I don't like that has turned out to be valuable and potentially life-saving. It is not the person, where he lives, how he lives, what he sounds and looks like. Those issues are irrelevant. It is the information you should be more interested in.

I couldn't care less if he dressed up as a clown, waved his dick in front of me, then took a dump in his baggy multi-coloured trousers; if he had information about serious matters I'm gonna look at it.

May I use one word Chris Spivey *may* use in an article? to give you this closing message:

If you feel it is more important to look for the tiny details of a person's character, style and motive rather than be your own leader in your own genre of choice and gather information

worthy enough to form your own opinion, then I have only one thing to say to you:

Do the world a favour and delete your fucking account!

[READ MORE](#)